Application No. Applicant(s) 10/774.419 TAKEI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Chuong A. Luu 2818 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Chuong A. Luu. (3)Kevin McKinley. (2) Lee Stepina. Date of Interview: 7/18/06 Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: _____. Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The participants discussed: 1) Independent claim was discussed and propose amendment to clearify the claim features; 2) With a translation of the prior application to overcome the Ito reference; 3) The Applicant pointed out the further distintion of the current application as recited in several dependent claims.